## COURT-II IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY (Appellate Jurisdiction)

## APPEAL NO. 312 OF 2018 & IA NO. 535 OF 2019

Dated: 8<sup>th</sup> April, 2019

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.K. Patil, Judicial Member

Hon'ble Mr. Ravindra Kumar Verma, Technical Member

In the matter of:

INOX Air Products Private Limited ....Appellant(s)

Versus

Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr. ....Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Anand K. Ganesan h/f

Ms. Dipali Seth

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Anup Jain for R-2

## ORDER (On IA No. 535 of 2019- Delay in filing reply)

We have heard the learned counsel appearing for both the parties.

Learned counsel, Mr. Anup Jain for the second Respondent submitted that, there is a delay of 22 days in filing the reply which has been explained satisfactorily in the application. The same may kindly be accepted and delay may kindly be condoned.

Submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the second Respondent, as stated above, are placed on record.

In the light of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the second Respondent and after perusal of the application explaining the delay in filing the reply, we find it satisfactory as sufficient cause has been made out. The same is accepted and the delay in filing the reply is condoned. IA, being IA No. 535 of 2019, for delay in filing the reply is allowed.

## **APPEAL NO. 312 OF 2018**

Four weeks' time is granted at the request of learned counsel appearing for the Appellant to file rejoinder i.e. on or before 07.05.2019 after duly serving copy to the other side.

List the matter on <u>14.05.2019</u>.

( Ravindra Kumar Verma) **Technical Member** mk/bn

(Justice N. K. Patil) **Judicial Member**